

FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH  
PONZI & RUDLOFF PC  
Amy M. Samberg (NV Bar No. 10212)  
[asamberg@fgppr.com](mailto:asamberg@fgppr.com)  
400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 550  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
Telephone: (602) 777-6230  
Facsimile: (312) 863-5099

Lee H. Gorlin (NV Bar No. 13879)  
[lgorlin@fgppr.com](mailto:lgorlin@fgppr.com)  
2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 280  
Henderson, Nevada 89052  
Telephone: (702) 827-1510  
Facsimile: (312) 863-5099

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP  
Melissa M. D'Alelio (*pro hac vice*)  
[MDAlelio@RobinsKaplan.com](mailto:MDAlelio@RobinsKaplan.com)  
Lauren J. Coppola (*pro hac vice*)  
[LCoppola@RobinsKaplan.com](mailto:LCoppola@RobinsKaplan.com)  
800 Boylston Street, Suite 2500  
Boston, Massachusetts 02199  
Telephone: (617) 267-2300  
Facsimile: (617) 267-8288

Attorneys for Defendant  
Ohio Security Insurance Company

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

GAMING ENTERTAINMENT TOUCH  
TECH,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
OHIO SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00908-JCM-EJY

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
EXTEND TIME FOR OHIO  
SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY  
TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION TO DISMISS (ECF No. 29)**

**FIRST REQUEST**

Plaintiff Gaming Entertainment Touch Tech ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Ohio Security Insurance Company ("Defendant") (Plaintiff and Defendant together referred to as "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, stipulate and agree to allow Defendant an additional 14 days to file and serve a Reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 29).

On February 2, 2021, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 29). On February 16, 2021, Plaintiff filed its Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion. (ECF No. 32). Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(b), the deadline to file Defendant's Reply in Support of its Motion is February 23, 2021 (seven days after filing of the Response), unless the court orders otherwise. The parties have conferred and are agreeable to allow Defendant an additional fourteen (14) days to file its Reply, moving the due date to March 2, 2021.

The parties stipulate and agree that an extension of time will enable the Defendant time to fully reply to Defendants' Response considering the demands of other active cases. Accordingly, the parties stipulate and agree that there is good cause to allow Defendant an additional 14 days to file its Reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss. Defendant's Reply shall be due on March 2, 2020.

Dated: February 18, 2021

LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN, L.L.P.

By: /s/ Daniel C. Levin

Daniel C. Levin, Esq.

GOLOMB & HONIK, P.C.

Richard M. Golomb, Esq.

Kenneth J. Grunfeld, Esq.

KEMP, JONES & COULTHARD, LLP

Will Kemp, Esq.

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Dated: February 17, 2021

FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH  
PONZI & RUDLOFF PC

By: /s/ Lee H. Gorlin

Amy M. Samberg, Esq.

Lee H. Gorlin, Esq.

ROBINS KAPLAN LLP

Melissa M. D'Alelio, Esq.

Lauren J. Coppola, Esq.

*Attorneys for Defendant*

IT IS SO ORDERED:

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: February 19, 2021

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR OHIO SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS (ECF No. 29) (FIRST REQUEST)** was served by submitting the same to the above-entitled Court for electronic service upon the Court's Service List for the above-referenced case.

Dated: February 18, 2021.

/s/ Regina Brouse  
An Employee of Foran Glennon